



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/973,293	3/973,293 12/01/1997		ROBERT G. SCHWARTZ	8001.101/00	6722
	7590	06/12/2002			
Clarence A.		sq.	EXAMINER		
Perman & Gre 425 Post Road	i			COSIMANO, EDWARD R	
Fairfield, CT 06430				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3629	
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

16		^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	08/973,293	SCHWARTZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edward R. Cosimano	3629
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u> March 2001</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>26-28,31-81,130,131,134-183,192-20</u>	<u>05 and 208-210</u> is/are pending i	n the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) <u>26-28,31-35,130,131,134-138,192-20</u>	0,205 and 210 is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) <u>36-81,139-183,201-204,208 and 209</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a) \square	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and Trademark Office		



Application/Control Number: 08/973,293

Art Unit: 3629

1. Applicant should note the changes to patent practice and procedure:

- A) effective December 01, 1997 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 62, No. 197, Friday October 10, 1997; and
- B) effective November 07, 2000 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 65, No. 54603, September 08, 2000.
- 2. The finality of the Office Action mailed 09 November 2000 is withdrawn in view of newly found prior art.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because
 - A) the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims, therefore, the subject matter of:
 - (1) claims 36-81, 139-183, 201-204, 208 & 209 in regard to the indicia being anything other than a postage indicia since as disclosed in the paragraphs between page 3, line 28, and page 4, line 20, "Still further, we have ... for paying any courier service", applicant intends the word "indicia" or "indicium" as used in these claims to be a postal indicia.

must be shown in the drawings as required by 37 CFR § 1.83(a) or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s) (note: no new matter should be entered).

- 3.1 A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3.2 Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - A) the subject matter of:
 - (1) claims 36-81, 139-183, 201-204, 208 & 209 in regard to the indicia being anything other than a postage indicia since as disclosed in the paragraphs between page 3, line 28, and page 4, line 20, "Still further, we have ... for



·Art Unit: 3629

paying any courier service", applicant intends the word "indicia" or "indicium" as used in these claims to be a postal indicia.

lacks antecedent basis within the specification as required by 37 CFR § 1.75(d1). Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. The specification and drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification or drawings. Applicant should note the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.74, § 1.75, § 1.84(o,p(5)), § 1.121(a)-1.121(f) & § 1.121(g)-1.121(h).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6.1 The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as:
 - A) the specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for the invention as now claimed.
- 6.1.1 In regard to claims 36-81, 139-183, 201-204, 208 & 209, it is noted that applicant's deletion of the word postage before indicia in these claims permits the claim indicia to be almost anything that would perform the recited functions. However, as disclosed in the paragraphs between page 3, line 28, and page 4, line 20, "Still further, we have ... for paying any courier service", applicant intends the word "indicia" or "indicium" as used in the disclosure and the instant claims to be limited to a postal indicia. Hence, the deletion of the word "postage" from these claims provides for the instant claims to provide coverage for invention which are not supported by the original disclosure.
- 6.1.2. Claims 36-81, 139-183, 201-204, 208 & 209 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.



Application/Control Number: 08/973,293

· Art Unit: 3629

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7.1 Claims 36-43, 45, 47-51, 53-59, 61-67, 69, 70, 72-76, 78-81, 139-146, 148, 150-154, 156-162, 164-170, 172, 174-178, 180-183, 208 & 209 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Perazza (5,326,959).
- 7.1.1 In regard to claims 36-43, 45, 47-51, 53-59, 61-67, 69, 70, 72-76, 78-81, 139-146, 148, 150-154, 156-162, 164-170, 172, 174-178, 180-183, 208 & 209, Perazza ('959) discloses an electronic bill payment system in which a first party, i.e. bank, provides the electronic bill payment service for a fee to it's customers (column 9, lines 25-44, "1. The opportunity to provide a new service for a fee ... can themselves operate as Payer's bank."). The bill payment service of Perazza ('959) requires that the bank collect the necessary payment information from it's customers. Once the necessary information has been obtained, the bank would periodically generate a document for each customer with an with various preprinted indicia on the document. The preprinted indicia include identifying indicia for the payer and payee as well as the transaction data to indicate the amount to be paid to a payee, i.e. second party. After the bank receives the document, the bank processes the document by scanning the document and then causing the amounts specified in the transaction data to be paid to the second party. It is noted further noted that since the bank charges a fee for the bill paying service, the generation of the document inherently indicates proof of payment for the bill paying service.
- 8. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance over the prior art:
 - A) the prior art, for example, Taylor et al (4,812,994) which disclose the use of passwords entered by the user to determine whether or not an user may operate a postage meter to dispense postage or perform any other postage metering function.



· Art Unit: 3629

- B) however, in regard to claims 26 & 130, the prior art does not teach or suggest the use of mail content data, e.g. sender information, to determine whether or not an user may operate a postage meter to dispense postage or perform any other postage metering function. Claims 27, 28, 33-35, 131 & 134-138 are allowable for the same reason.
- C) however, in regard to claim 192, the prior art does not teach or suggest the use of a postage indicia to cause to the postal carrier to provide an advance message concerning the content of an item of mail to another party. Claims 193-200, 205 & 210 are allowable for the same reason.
- 8.1 In regard to claims 44, 68, 147 & 171, the prior art does not teach or suggest including a confirmation request in the instructions included in the transaction data.
- 8.2 In regard to claims 46, 71, 149 & 173, the prior art does not teach or suggest including a digital signature in the indicia.
- 8.3 In regard to claims 52, 77, 155 & 179, the prior art does not teach or suggest that the first party is the postal authority.
- 8.4 In regard to claims 60 & 163, the prior art does not teach or suggest that the first party causes the mail piece to be delivered.
- 8.4 In regard to claims 201-204, the prior art does not teach or suggest including a postage amount in the indicia.

9. <u>Response to applicant's arguments.</u>

- 9.1 All rejections and objections of the previous Office action not repeated or modified and repeated here in have been over come by applicant's last response.
- 10. The examiner has cited prior art of interest, for example:
 - A) the Managing Office Technology article, which discloses that a postage indicia contains proof of payment for a service.
 - B) Critelli (6,260,023), which discloses that a postage indicia contains proof of payment for a service and may contain other non-postage related information.

Application/Control Number: 08/973,293

· Art Unit: 3629

- C) Linchan (6,327,578), which discloses a computer payment system that generates a token/indicia where the token causes the processor of the token to pay the amount specified in the token to another party.
- D) Antognini et al (2002/00230555), which discloses a computer payment system that generates a document that contains an indicia with the information necessary to cause the processor of the token to pay the amount specified in the document to another party.
- 11. The shorten statutory period of response is set to expire 3 (three) months from the mailing date of this Office action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cosimano whose telephone number is (703) 305-9783. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached on (703)-308-2702. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.
- 12.1 The fax phone number for **UNOFFICIAL/DRAFT FAXES** is (703) 746-7240.
- 12.2 The fax phone number for **OFFICIAL FAXES** is (703) 305-7687.
- 12.3 The fax phone number for AFTER FINAL FAXES is (703) 308-3691.

06/05/02

Edward R. Cosimano

Primary Examiner A.U. 3629